

Addendum #4



To: Prospective Bidders

File No: RFP 2024-01 Addendum 4

Date: March 4, 2024

From: Public Works Department

Re: Addendum #4 RFP 2024-01 McAdam Park Playground

Please review the following addendum in regards to City of Duncan RFP 2024-01 Playground Supply and Build – New McAdam Park Playground.

1. **Question received:** *The provided site drawings are very specific in site shape, square footage and show play equipment safety zones. Would the city be open to proposals which modify the site shape and square footage?*

Response: Suppliers are welcome to propose modifications to the site shapes and square footage. Impacts to the drainage design should be kept in mind and may have to be reviewed by the City in that case. Note: the pathway to the west had extensive civil design given the slopes that it crosses so it would not be recommended to make any significant changes to that pathway's point of connection. Also note: any detrimental impacts to existing trees by altering the size and shapes of the play areas should be avoided as much as possible.

2. **Question received:** *The drawings specify a City of Calgary – Drinking fountain and misting station – Model P2020- 355-0000A. Would the City be able to provide the listed supplier/vendor of this model so it can be purchased for the project? Or alternatively could the City purchase this specified item and provide it to the contractor for installation (much the same as the tables)?*

Response: This water station is designed and fabricated in-house by the City of Calgary. A qualified fabrication shop would need to be engaged to fabricate this model based on these drawings. The model is provided as one possible option that could be utilized if needed; however, Suppliers are welcome (encouraged) to suggest an alternate commercially available model if preferred. The City could engage a fabricator for the City of Calgary product if needed. Please be clear what assumptions you are making in your proposal for the water station and what has been included for costs or not (i.e. list a separate cost for this item).

3. **Question received:** *Addendum #2 states that the City has applied for funding for the rubber surfacing with both Kal-Tire and Tire Stewardship BC. As specific quotes from rubber suppliers are required for these grants - In the case of the Tire Stewardship BC application a rubber vendor name was to be selected and quotations attached with the application. Can you share which rubber vendor was selected and quotes submitted for the grant application? We want to ensure that our proposal remains viable by including the rubber supplier designated within the grant applications process and grant requirements.*

Response: The quote the City utilized for the Tire Stewardship BC (TSBC) and Kal-Tire grant applications was from Softline Solutions (February 2024). Suppliers are welcome to contact this vendor to incorporate that quote in your proposal. However, Suppliers should not feel obligated to utilize this vendor only, as long as the Supplier can provide rubber surfacing options that will generally match the grant expectations. In other words, the City intends to use whichever recycled rubber surfacing provider makes the most sense overall, regardless of the information requested in those grant applications. The City has not been successful with the TSBC grant application for the past two years, so we do not intend to be bound to an unknown outcome at this stage.